Modeling of CO dispersion from the stack of an Iranian cement Plant
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Abstract
The objective of this study was to simulate carbon monoxide (CO) dispersion exited from the stacks of a cement industry in Doroud, Iran by Gaussian Model (GM). Four sampling period was conducted for the measurement of CO from the factory's three-stack flow during a period of one year. The input parameters were the rate of CO emission, meteorological data, factors related to the stack, and factors related to the receptor. Parameters were incorporated in the model and the dispersion of CO during a period of four season was modeled. The southwesterly winds have dominated for the past five years. The highest and the lowest CO levels were estimated at spring and fall seasons with maximum amount of 842.06 and 88.31 µg/m³ within distances of 526 and 960 m away from the cement plant, respectively. Although the maximum predicted CO concentration at four seasons were lower than the NAAQS standard, the simulation results can be used as a base for reduction of CO emissions rate, because the long-term exposure to emissions of cement plant imposes potentially significant health and environmental impacts.

KEYWORDS: Gaussian Model, Modeling, Carbon monoxide, Cement Plant

Date of submission: 25 Aug 2016, Date of acceptance: 25 Feb 2017


Introduction
Air pollution due to industrialization is one of the environmental problems globally, especially in developing countries. The increasing development of urban areas, rapid growth of the economy, increase in energy consumption, and population growth are some of the most important factors that threaten health and the environment. 1-5 The major sources of air pollutants are from combined cycle processes, in terms of quality and quantity of fuel combustion. Emissions from fuel combustion that are most important are oxides of monoxide, oxides of sulfur and dioxide and are being discharged to the atmosphere via these sources. 6-10 Doroud, one of the cities of Lorestan Province enclosed from three sides by the Zagros Mountains, is located in western Iran. The air pollutants generated due to the development of industrial activities affect this city, especially Doroud Cement Plant and other industries associated with the extraction of rock. The Doroud Cement Plant is located in the vicinity of the city center. In this factory, due to the emissions of carbon monoxide (CO) from the defective combustion of fuel-burning process, the people are exposed to a large amounts of CO. The kiln fuel of this factory is natural gas through the first six-
month of the year and is Mazut during the fall and winter period. Therefore, due to the global concerns regarding CO emissions, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) established primary and secondary standards for it in the air. In order to diminish these concerns, it is important to identify how an air pollutant can dispersed in the troposphere. Various parameters such as wind speed and direction, ground conditions, height of release over ground level, atmospheric stability, etc. have effect on gas dispersion. There is a requirement for quantification, identification, and distribution of these pollutants to equation have been used to estimate various pollutants concentration. Application of these atmospheric models gives useful information for the atmospheric pollution control programs. Gaussian dispersion model combines source linked factors and meteorological parameters to assess pollutant concentration from different sources. The model assumes that the pollutant does not undergo chemical reactions or is not eliminated through other processes including dry or wet deposition. The basic formulation of determining ground level concentration by Gaussian model (GM) in downwind direction is presented in Eqs. (1) and (2).

\[ X = \frac{Q}{2\pi u_s \delta_y \delta_z} \left[ \exp \left( -0.5 \left( \frac{z_r - h_e}{\delta_z} \right)^2 \right) + \exp \left( -0.5 \left( \frac{z_i - h_e}{\delta_z} \right)^2 \right) + A \right] \]

where \( X \) is downwind concentration (\( \mu g/m^3 \)), \( Q \) is the emission rate of pollutant (gr/s), \( u_s \) is wind speed in the stack high (m/s), \( \delta_y \) and \( \delta_z \) are standard deviation of lateral and vertical dispersion (m), \( z_r \) and \( z_i \) are the receptor height above ground level and mixing height (m), respectively. \( h_e \) is also plume central height (m). Our study was designed to model CO dispersion from the stack of Doroud Cement Plant by GM.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study area**

Doroud Cement Plant (33°29′MN, 49°4′ME) is one of the productive industries in Doroud City, Lorestan Province, and located in the southwest of Iran (Fig. 1). This factory which manufactures a capacity of 300 tons per day started in 1959. It is located in the vicinity of the residential areas. Several atmospheric contaminants (such as CO) are emitted from appropriate management strategies. This industry, which can be harmful for humans that settled downwind the cement factory. Software tools based on Gaussian dispersion manufacture their diminishing facilities with

Four sampling period were conducted to measure CO from the factory's three-stack flow in February, May, August, and November 2014. In order to measure CO, samples were taken from the stack gas flow based on ASTM D5522-EPACTM-030 standard using Testo (XL350) equipment in a year. To assess the CO atmospheric dispersion in this study area, the GM was used.

**Gaussian model**

The different steps of estimating CO concentrations in the downwind direction by GM are presented in Equations 3 to 11. For calculation of wind speed in the stack height of cement plant, it is necessary to convert wind
speed at 10 m above ground level as reference to wind speed in the stack nozzle using Eq. (3). Where $u$ (m/s) and $u_0$ (m/s) are the wind speed in the stack height ($H_s$, m) and observed wind speed in reference height ($Z_0$, m), respectively.

$$u = u_0 \left(\frac{H_s}{Z_0}\right)^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Parameter $n$, the wind profile exponent, is a function of stability category. The standard theory assumes that a rising buoyant plume entrains ambient air at a rate proportional to both its cross-sectional area and its speed relative to the surrounding air. For the calculation of rising buoyant plume ($dh$), the parameters of initial velocity of gas flow ($w_0$), the inside stack radius ($R_0$), and temperature are required. Initial buoyancy flux ($F_b$) can obtained by Eq. (4):

$$F_b = \frac{W_0 R_0^2 g}{T_{p0}(T_{p0} - T_{a0})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $T_{p0}$ and $T_{a0}$ are the initial plume temperature and the ambient temperature at stack height, respectively. For amount of $F_b \geq 55 \text{ m}^4 \text{ s}^{-3}$ in the Pasquill categories of A-D, buoyant rise was obtained by Eq. (5). In this study, the amount of $F_b$ for classes of A-D was estimated to be lower than $55 \text{ m}^4 \text{ s}^{-3}$.

$$dh = 38.71 \frac{F_{b0.6}}{u_s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $u_s$ is the wind speed at stack height. In a stable atmosphere, $E$ and $F$, the final plume rise $dh$ is given by the following equation:

$$dh = 2.6 \left(\frac{F_b}{u_s}\right)^{1/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The final effective plume height ($H$), in meter, is stack height ($h_s$) plus plume rise ($dh$), which is presented in Eq. (7) below:

$$H = h_s + dh$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Equations that approximately fit the Pasquill–Gifford curves were used to estimate $\delta_y$ and $\delta_z$ for the rural mode. The equations (8)-(10) were used to calculate $\delta_y$ and $\delta_z$.

$$\delta_z = ax^b$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $TH$ was computed by Eq. (10).

$$TH = 0.017452393[c - d \ln(x)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

In Eqs. (8)-(10), the parameter of $x$ is the downwind distance and the coefficients $a$, $b$, $c$ and $d$ have been calculated according to the Pasquill stability category.

### Meteorological data and stack related factors

For most modeling studies, five years of meteorological data from a representative national weather service station is recommended. The meteorological data required for this modeling effort were obtained from surface weather observatory station located close to the cement factory. Five-year results of wind speeds and direction (within the period of 2010-2014) were obtained from meteorological station and then used for drawing of wind rose plot by WRPLOT View Software. For short time periods, a constant representative atmospheric stability was assumed. The surface wind speeds, and wind directions at 10 m above ground level were used in the meteorological analysis to evaluate the environmental impact assessment (HIA) due to CO emissions from the cement factory stack. Moreover, the meteorological data of 2014 were used for drawing of seasonal wind roses.

Carbon monoxides samples obtained from three stacks of the cement plant including Electro filter 1 and 2 and Kiln and their averages were applied for the explication of models during a period of four seasons. The temperature of gas flow and exit gas velocity was measured during the sampling period. The stack height, as well as stack inside diameter were used for dispersion modeling of CO as factors related to stack. Table 1 shows the factors related to the three stacks of cement factory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical factors of stacks</th>
<th>Electro filter 1</th>
<th>Kiln</th>
<th>Electrofilter 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stack height (m)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack inside diameter (m)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of gas flow(°K)</td>
<td>356±9.86</td>
<td>403±12.99</td>
<td>435±9.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit gas velocity (m/s)</td>
<td>7.77±0.52</td>
<td>14.77±3.22</td>
<td>11.62±1.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1. Physical factors related to the three stacks of cement factory
Results and Discussion

Fig. 3(a) to (d) shows the seasonal wind rose plots from the daily data recorded by meteorological station. In general, seasonal winds and mountain-valley breezes influence the diurnal climate. The easterly winds were dominant during the spring season and southerly winds were semi-dominant, while with an increase in temperature from spring to winter, the wind directions changed from eastern and southern winds toward southeastern. The maximum percentage of time the winds were blown from dominant direction at spring, summer, fall, and winter were 27.5, 17.4, 26.1, and 38.5%, respectively; which were southerly winds. Seangkiatiyuth et al. (2011) demonstrated that the percentage of time the winds were blown based on the instrument detection limit were 6.9, 17.7, and 47.8%. 11 In addition, in warm seasons (spring and summer) especially summer, the changes of wind directions are more than the cold season (fall and winter). Therefore, there were fewer episodes of calm periods in cold season in comparison to the warm season. Abril et al. (2014) reported stronger winds coming from the south direction and these winds were more frequent than the other winds. 20 These findings are in line with the results of our study.

Figure 2. Wind rose plots (a) spring, (b) summer, (c) fall, and (d) winter for the study period

The results of CO dispersion in different distances of emission source at X-axis downwind direction are shown in Fig. 4. As evident in Fig. 4(a) and (b), the maximum predicted concentrations in spring and summer as warm seasons were 688.55 and 98.86 µg/m³, respectively. Fig. 4 (c) and (d) shows the plots of CO levels at different distance away from the cement plant stacks during fall and winter seasons as cold seasons. As shown, the maximum estimated CO concentrations were 84.98 and 411.88 µg/m³, respectively. The figures also show that for distances close to the source, the concentration of pollutants is lower and from these points on to 526, 584, 960, and 2647 m from the source, CO levels rapidly increased in ground level and after drifting downward to a distance of 4000 m, they were found to be 55.52, 5.15, 27.05, and 411.98 µg/m³, respectively. Thus, according to environmental standards, in the study area, pollution does not exceed the standard at any point. The worst atmospheric condition was obtained in winter, where pollution concentrations rapidly increase at ground level. Maximum 1-hour predicted level of CO
from the stack of a Jordanian cement plant by AERMOD was 0.086 ppm within 2000 m from the source. The points of maximum concentrations calculated by GM are approximately 526, 584, 960, and 2647 m at downwind direction, respectively. Mohebbi and Baroutian (2006) demonstrated that the point of maximum concentration of pollutant is 750 m downwind of cement plant.

Figure 3. Dispersion of CO at different distances of cement plant (a) spring, summer, fall, and winter

The worst atmospheric condition was obtained during spring season, where pollution concentrations rapidly increase at ground level. According to the results of our study, maximum CO concentrations were lower than the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) with an average value of 40,000 µg/m³ or 9 ppm. Therefore, this condition has no health impact on nearby communities in the downwind direction from the south and east of the cement factory. Otaru et al. (2013) reported that due to the fugitive emissions of cement plants, a simulated safety distance of 7,000 m from the source is recommended for human settlement and their activities. The highest and the lowest concentrations of NOx were predicted in spring and fall seasons, respectively. These findings are in line with the results of a study conducted by Sari et al. (2014). They reported that the prevailing direction of CO was dispersed to the south with the maximum concentration of 60.22 µg/m³ at distance of 750 m from the source. Kahforoshan et al. (2008) showed that the maximum level of CO in selected flare in Nigeria was 14640 µg/m³, 20 m far from stack at ground level. Schuhmacher et al. (2004) indicated that exited pollutants from the cement plant stack were not considered as causal predictor of mortality, but they have an increase of about 0.2% in risk of asthma attack. Momeni et al. (2013) modeled the spread of air pollution using SCREEN3 and meteorological information. The results of measuring air pollutants using measurement stations indicated that the amount of CO had a lower level than the standards, this finding is consistent with the results of the present study.

Conclusion

In this study, dispersion of CO from the stacks of Doroud Cement Plant was assessed by GM. The dominant direction of CO dispersion was from the south and west directions. The highest and the lowest maximum concentration were predicted in spring and fall, respectively. The maximum CO concentrations at different seasons were not higher than the NAAQS. Thus, this indicates that CO emissions from the cement plant have no health impact on nearby communities. It is important to emphasize the fact that application of atmospheric dispersion models can be useful when applied together with measured data, in order to permit more robust and improved predictive atmospheric studies. In addition, to reduce emissions from fuel combustion, the selection of fuel type is necessary to reduce CO concentrations.
Although the maximum CO concentrations at four seasons were lower than the NAAQS standard, the simulation results can be used as a base for reduction of CO emissions rate, because the long-term exposure of emissions from cement plant imposes potentially significant health and environmental impacts.
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